ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Surgical strategies and outcomes in the management of Gastrointestinal bleeding: A comprehensive review

Ahmed Alalem, Suha Alalem, Raed Almuqhim

Abstract:

Objective: To explore the current landscape of surgical approaches in managing gastrointestinal bleeding (GIB), particularly in cases where conservative measures and endoscopic interventions are inadequate. This review aims to synthesize data from international literature and clinical practices, highlighting the indications, techniques, and outcomes associated with various surgical interventions.

Study design: A comprehensive review of existing literature and clinical practices on the surgical management of GIB, including exploratory laparotomy, laparoscopic surgery, and specific procedures tailored to the bleeding source, such as gastrectomy, colectomy, and segmental resection.

Setting and duration: This study was conducted through a review of international clinical data and literature. No specific institution is mentioned, as the study encompasses a broad range of global sources.

Material and Methods: The study involved a detailed analysis of surgical strategies used in GIB management, focusing on cases where conservative and endoscopic treatments failed. It examined the epidemiological insights, pre-operative patient preparation, intra-operative management techniques, and post-operative care protocols that optimize patient outcomes.

Results: The review found that surgical interventions are crucial in controlling severe or persistent GIB when other treatments fail. Procedures like exploratory laparotomy, laparoscopic surgery, and specific resective techniques effectively manage bleeding and prevent recurrence. The outcomes of these surgeries are influenced by factors such as the bleeding's etiology and severity, the patient's overall health, and the timeliness of intervention.

Discussion: GIB presents a significant clinical challenge, with variable incidence and mortality rates depending on factors like age, underlying conditions, and the availability of timely medical intervention. This review underscores the importance of tailored surgical strategies, emphasizing pre-operative assessment, appropriate selection of surgical procedures, and comprehensive post-operative care to enhance patient recovery and minimize complications.

Conclusion: Surgical management of GIB is essential when conservative measures and endoscopic interventions fail to control bleeding. The study highlights the importance of individualized surgical strategies based on the specific etiology and severity of the bleeding. By integrating meticulous pre-operative preparation, precise intra-operative techniques, and comprehensive post-operative care, healthcare providers can optimize outcomes and improve the quality of life for patients with GIB. Continued innovation and refinement in surgical techniques are necessary to further enhance patient care in gastroenterology and surgery.

Keywords: Gastrointestinal Bleeding (GIB), surgical management, laparotomy, laparoscopic surgery, hemostasis, gastrointestinal surgery, endoscopic interventions, mortality, coagulopathy, pre-operative stabilization, patient outcomes

Received
date: 9th January, 2023
Accepted
date: 30th June, 2023

King Abdullah Hospital, Bisha, Saudi Arabia
A Alalem

King Saud Univdersity, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
S Alalem

Home Health Care Center, Medinah, Almadinah, Saudi Arabia
R Almuqhim

Correspondence:
Dr Ahmed Alalem,
General Surgery Resident,
King Abdullah Hospital,
Bisah, Saudia Arabia
email: alalem.ksu@gmail.com

Introduction:

Gastrointestinal bleeding (GIB) poses a substantial clinical challenge, necessitating prompt intervention to mitigate potentially life-threatening consequences. This research explores the current landscape of surgical approaches in

managing GIB, focusing on cases where conservative measures and endoscopic interventions prove inadequate. The study synthesizes data from international literature and clinical practices, highlighting the indications, techniques, and outcomes associated with surgical interventions such as exploratory laparotomy, laparoscopic surgery, and specific procedures tailored to the bleeding source (e.g., gastrectomy, colectomy, segmental resection). Epidemiological insights into the incidence and causative factors of GIB underscore the necessity of tailored surgical strategies in diverse patient populations. Emphasis is placed on preoperative patient preparation, intra-operative management techniques, and post-operative care protocols to optimize patient outcomes. By addressing the complexities of surgical management in GIB comprehensively, this research contributes to enhancing clinical decision-making and advancing patient care standards in this critical area of gastroenterology and surgery.

Epidemiology: Gastrointestinal bleeding is a prevalent cause of global hospitalizations, with incidence rates varying by region. In the United States alone, estimates indicate annual hospital admissions ranging from 300,000 to 500,000 due to this condition. Age plays a critical role, with higher incidences observed among older adults, who are more susceptible to conditions such as peptic ulcers, diverticular disease, and gastrointestinal malignancies all common triggers of bleeding. Gender differences are noted, particularly in types like peptic ulcer bleeding, which tends to affect men more frequently. Disparities in gastrointestinal bleeding epidemiology also exist between developed and developing countries, influenced by factors such as parasitic infections and tuberculosis in specific regions. Additionally, urban and rural disparities in healthcare access and NSAID usage contribute to varying prevalence rates across populations.[1-3]

Causes and risk factors: Peptic ulcers, primarily attributed to Helicobacter pylori infection or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), historically represent major causes of upper gastrointestinal bleeding. Variceal bleeding, prevalent in individuals with portal hypertension from liver cirrhosis, significantly impacts the lower gastrointestinal tract. Gastrointestinal malignancies, notably colorectal and gastric cancers, emerge as prominent bleeding sources among elderly populations. Diverticular disease in the colon, particularly when inflamed or ulcerated, poses another significant risk for bleeding. Additionally, less common causes encompass Mallory-Weiss tears at the gastroesophageal junction, vascular malformations like angiodysplasia, and inflammatory bowel disease.[1, 2]

Clinical presentation: The clinical presentation of gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding can vary widely depending on the location, severity, and underlying cause of the bleeding. Common manifestations include:

- Hematemesis: Vomiting of bright red blood or coffee-ground-like material, indicating bleeding from the upper GI tract, such as the esophagus, stomach, or duodenum.
- Melena: Black, tarry stools resulting from the digestion of blood in the upper GI tract, suggestive of bleeding from higher GI tract, typically the stomach or proximal small intestine.
- Hematochezia: Passage of bright red or maroon-colored blood through the rectum, indicative of bleeding from the lower GI tract, including the colon, rectum, or anus.
- Occult bleeding: Occult or hidden bleeding, detectable only through laboratory tests (e.g., fecal occult blood test), which may indicate chronic low-level bleeding.
- Symptoms of anemia: Chronic GIB can lead to iron deficiency anemia, characterized by symptoms such as fatigue, pallor, weakness, and shortness of breath.
- Hypovolemic shock: Severe GIB can result in hemodynamic instability, presenting with symptoms such as dizziness, palpitations, decreased urine output, and altered

mental status.

- Abdominal pain or discomfort: Pain may accompany GIB, particularly if associated with conditions like peptic ulcers, diverticulosis, or inflammatory bowel disease.

- Generalized symptoms: Patients may experience nonspecific symptoms such as nausea, vomiting (not necessarily with blood), abdominal distension, or changes in bowel habits.[4,5]

Mortality and complications: Gastrointestinal bleeding carries a variable mortality rate influenced by the severity of bleeding, underlying health conditions, and timely medical intervention, with severe cases experiencing mortality rates ranging from 5 to 30%. Complications may include hypovolemic shock necessitating blood transfusions, alongside long-term outcomes such as iron deficiency anemia due to chronic blood loss.[6]

Pre-operative assessment and stabilization:
Initial assessment: The initial assessment of gastrointestinal bleeding begins with a comprehensive evaluation aimed at determining the severity and identifying the source of bleeding. Clinical assessment involves a thorough history-taking to elucidate symptoms, such as hematemesis or melena, and to assess the patient's hemodynamic stability. Physical examination includes vital signs monitoring for signs of shock, abdominal tenderness, and signs of chronic liver disease or portal hypertension, such as ascites or splenomegaly.[1] Laboratory tests are crucial, focusing particularly on hemoglobin levels to gauge the extent of blood loss and hematocrit to assess the degree of anemia. Coagulation studies, such as prothrombin time (PT) and activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT), may be performed to evaluate clotting function. Imaging studies play a pivotal role, with endoscopy being a primary tool for visualizing and potentially treating upper gastrointestinal bleeding sources, such as peptic ulcers or varices. Imaging scans, including computed tomography (CT) or angiography, may be employed to localize and characterize bleeding sites in the lower gastrointestinal tract, aiding in planning further management strategies.[6,7]

Fluid resuscitation: Patients experiencing active GIB require immediate and intensive fluid resuscitation to stabilize hemodynamics and prevent complications such as shock. This involves rapid administration of intravenous fluids, typically crystalloid solutions like normal saline or lactated Ringer's, to restore blood volume and maintain tissue perfusion. Concurrently, blood products such as packed red blood cells (PRBCs) may be transfused to correct anemia and optimize oxygen delivery. Continuous monitoring of vital signs, central venous pressure (CVP), and urine output guides the adequacy of resuscitation efforts, aiming to maintain adequate perfusion pressure and prevent end-organ damage. The goal is to stabilize hemodynamics promptly and effectively, mitigating the risk of progression to severe shock and optimizing outcomes in these critically ill patients.[8,9]

Correction of Coagulopathy: Many patients with significant GIB may develop coagulopathy, which can be correct through the administration of clotting factors, fresh frozen plasma, or platelet transfusions, as indicated by laboratory tests like prothrombin time (PT) and activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT).[10]

Medical Therapy: Depending on the cause of bleeding, medical therapies such as proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) to reduce gastric acid secretion, vasoactive medications (e.g., octreotide) to reduce bleeding from varices, or antibiotics to prevent infection may be initiated.[1]

Imaging modalities:
Upper GI Endoscopy (Esophagogastroduodenoscopy, EGD):

EGD is a crucial diagnostic and therapeutic procedure in the management of GIB. Medically, EGD allows direct visualization of the upper GI tract, including the esophagus, stomach, and duodenum, facilitating the identification of bleeding sources such as ulcers, varices, or tumors.

Scientifically, EGD is instrumental in assessing the severity and exact location of the bleeding, guiding targeted interventions. Therapeutically, it enables various hemostatic techniques, such as the application of endoscopic clips, thermal coagulation, or injection therapy, which can effectively control active bleeding. By providing real-time, minimally invasive intervention, EGD significantly improves patient outcomes, reduces the need for surgery, and lowers mortality rates associated with GI hemorrhage.[11]

Colonoscopy: Colonoscopy plays a crucial role in the diagnosis and management of GIB, particularly in the lower GI tract. As a diagnostic tool, colonoscopy allows direct visualization of the colonic mucosa, enabling identification of bleeding sources such as diverticulosis, angiodysplasia, colorectal polyps, and malignancies. It facilitates not only the localization but also the assessment of the severity of bleeding. Therapeutically, colonoscopy offers various interventions to control hemorrhage, including endoscopic clipping, thermal coagulation, and injection therapy.[12]

Capsule Endoscopy: Capsule endoscopy is a significant advancement in the diagnosis and management of GIB. This non-invasive procedure involves swallowing a small, pill-sized camera that travels through the digestive tract, capturing thousands of high-resolution images. It is particularly effective in identifying sources of bleeding in the small intestine, a region challenging to visualize with traditional endoscopy or colonoscopy. By providing comprehensive and direct visualization of the entire small bowel, capsule endoscopy enhances the detection of obscure GIB, often caused by conditions such as angiodysplasia, Crohn's disease, or small bowel tumors. This diagnostic tool not only aids in pinpointing the exact location of bleeding but also guides subsequent therapeutic interventions, improving patient outcomes and reducing the need for more invasive procedures. Its ability to safely and effectively diagnose previously elusive GI disorders underscores its crucial role in modern gastroenterology.[13]

Specific pre-operative preparation: Endoscopy and colonoscopy: Endoscopy and colonoscopy are essential in diagnosing and managing GIB. Upper GI endoscopyexamines the esophagus, stomach, and duodenum, identifying sources of bleeding such as peptic ulcers, varices, gastritis, and tumors. It is indicated for patients with hematemesis, melena, or unexplained anemia and allows for therapeutic interventions like cauterization or clipping. Colonoscopy, on the other hand, assesses the colon and rectum, identifying sources of lower GI bleeding, including diverticulosis, angiodysplasia, colorectal cancer, and inflammatory bowel disease. Both procedures are vital for timely diagnosis, treatment, and management of GI bleeding, improving patient outcomes by allowing direct visualization and immediate intervention.[14,15]

Nutritional Support: Nutritional support plays a crucial role in preparing patients with gastrointestinal bleeding for surgery, particularly when oral intake is compromised due to ongoing bleeding. Intravenous fluids are administered to maintain hydration and electrolyte balance, crucial for stabilizing the patient's condition pre-operatively. Total parenteral nutrition (TPN) may be initiated to ensure adequate caloric intake and essential nutrients, bypassing the gastrointestinal tract to avoid exacerbating bleeding. TPN formulations are tailored to provide protein, carbohydrates, fats, vitamins, and minerals, aiming to optimize nutritional status and support tissue healing post-operatively. This comprehensive nutritional support strategy is essential in managing the metabolic demands and potential catabolic state associated with acute gastrointestinal bleeding, thereby enhancing the patient's readiness for surgical intervention and facilitating recovery outcomes.[16]

Consultation with specialists: Collaboration with specialists such as gastroenterologists, hematologists, and anesthesiologists ensure comprehensive pre-operative management tailored to the patient's specific condition.

Indications for Surgery: Surgical intervention for gastrointestinal bleeding is considered in

several scenarios. These include the failure of conservative management, where bleeding persists despite initial medical therapy and endoscopic interventions, and massive bleeding, characterized by significant acute blood loss that cannot be controlled by other means. Additionally, surgery may be necessary for lesions not amenable to endoscopic therapy, which are certain anatomical locations or lesions that are inaccessible or unresponsive to endoscopic treatment. Finally, recurrent bleeding, where patients experience repeated episodes of bleeding despite undergoing multiple endoscopic therapies, also warrants consideration for surgical intervention.[17]

Surgical approaches:

Exploratory Laparotomy: Exploratory laparotomy represents a classical surgical technique wherein the abdomen is surgically opened to enable direct visualization and management of bleeding sources within the gastrointestinal tract. This approach is pivotal in cases where the source of bleeding is unclear or when rapid intervention is necessary to control hemorrhage. During exploratory laparotomy, surgeons meticulously inspect the entire abdominal cavity, examining organs such as the stomach, small intestine, colon, and liver for lesions, tumors, vascular malformations, or traumatic injuries that could be causing the bleeding. The procedure involves meticulous hemostasis, where bleeding vessels are identified and controlled using techniques like electrocautery, ligation, or application of hemostatic agents. Exploratory laparotomy not only facilitates definitive treatment of acute bleeding but also allows for concurrent surgical repairs of any identified anatomical abnormalities contributing to the hemorrhagic event. This surgical approach is essential in managing life-threatening gastrointestinal bleeding scenarios, ensuring prompt and effective intervention to stabilize the patient's condition and improve outcomes.[18,19]

Laparoscopic Surgery: Laparoscopic surgery, a minimally invasive technique, involves the insertion of a laparoscope and specialized surgical instruments through small incisions in the abdomen. This approach is particularly advantageous in the management of gastrointestinal bleeding when the bleeding source can be precisely identified and accessed. By minimizing the size of incisions, laparoscopic surgery reduces trauma to surrounding tissues, leading to quicker recovery times and fewer post-operative complications compared to traditional open surgery. Patients undergoing laparoscopic procedures typically experience less pain, reduced risk of wound infections, shorter hospital stays, and faster return to normal activities. Moreover, the enhanced visualization provided by the laparoscope allows surgeons to perform intricate maneuvers with greater precision, ensuring effective hemostasis and optimal outcomes in the treatment of gastrointestinal bleeding.[20,21]

Specific procedures based on bleeding source:

Gastrectomy or Colectomy: Gastrectomy or colectomy, surgical procedures involving the partial or complete removal of the stomach or colon, respectively, are indicated in cases where gastrointestinal bleeding originates from extensive lesions such as tumors or vascular malformations. These interventions are considered when less invasive methods, including endoscopic therapies or medical management, are insufficient to control bleeding effectively or when the bleeding source cannot be accessed via endoscopy. Gastrectomy, involving the removal of all or part of the stomach, may be performed for conditions such as gastric cancer or large gastric ulcers that are actively bleeding or at risk of hemorrhage. Similarly, colectomy, which entails the resection of a segment of the colon, may be necessary for severe cases of colonic bleeding due to conditions like diverticular disease, inflammatory bowel disease, or colorectal cancer.[22,23]

Segmental Resection: Segmental resection involves surgically removing a specific segment of the intestine that encompasses the identified bleeding site. This procedure is frequently employed in cases where localized lesions, such as small bowel lesions or colonic tumors, are causing gastrointestinal bleeding. The decision to perform segmental resection is based on several

factors, including the size and location of the lesion, its accessibility via surgical approach, and the extent of tissue involvement. The surgical team carefully assesses the segment of intestine affected, ensuring precise identification and excision of the bleeding source while preserving adequate blood supply and maintaining intestinal continuity. Post-operatively, patients may require monitoring for complications such as infection, anastomotic leaks (if bowel segments are reconnected), and adherence to a structured recovery plan to facilitate optimal healing and gastrointestinal function restoration.[24-26]

Hemicolectomy: Hemicolectomyis a therapeutic approach primarily indicated for managing large colonic polyps or tumors that lead to substantial gastrointestinal bleeding. This procedure aims to excise the affected segment of the colon, along with its associated blood supply and draining lymphatics, to effectively eliminate the source of bleeding.[27]

Intra-operative Techniques:

Electrocautery: Electrocautery involves the use of an electrical current to generate heat, which coagulates and seals blood vessels and tissues, thereby controlling bleeding. This method is particularly effective for small vessels and superficial bleeding sites encountered during surgery.[28]

Hemostatic Agents:
Topical Hemostatic Agents: Such as absorbable gelatin sponge (Gelfoam), oxidized cellulose (Surgicel), and fibrin sealants (Tisseel, Evicel), which help in achieving hemostasis by promoting platelet aggregation and clot formation. Thrombin, applied topically or through a spray mechanismaids in clot formation and can be useful in controlling bleeding from small vascular structures.[29,30]

Sutures and Ligatures: Traditional techniques such as suturing and ligating blood vessels remain fundamental for achieving hemostasis during surgery, particularly for larger vessels or structures where precise control of bleeding is required.[31]

Vascular Ligation: Direct tying off or ligation of larger blood vessels using absorbable or non-absorbable sutures is commonly employed to permanently occlude vessels and prevent ongoing bleeding.[32]

Argon Beam Coagulation: Argon beam coagulation utilizes a beam of ionized argon gas to deliver thermal energy to bleeding tissues, effectively coagulating small blood vessels and achieving hemostasis without direct tissue contact.[33]

Harmonic Scalpel: The harmonic scalpel utilizes ultrasonic energy to simultaneously cut and coagulate tissues, providing precise dissection and hemostasis during surgical procedures involving delicate tissues or areas with multiple small vessels.[34]

Pressure and Compression: Temporary pressure and compression using surgical sponges or packs may be applied to bleeding surfaces or organs to control bleeding until more definitive hemostatic measures can be undertaken.[35]

Monitoring and Post-operative Planning:

monitoring: Continuous monitoring of vital signs, including blood pressure, heart rate, respiratory rate, and oxygen saturation, is essential to detect early signs of hemodynamic instability or shock. Monitoring fluid intake and output helps assess hemodynamic stability and guides fluid resuscitation strategies post-operatively. Serial monitoring of hemoglobin levels and coagulation profile (e.g., PT, INR, aPTT) helps assess for ongoing bleeding, adequacy of hemostasis, and the need for blood transfusions or clotting factor support. Regular clinical assessment for signs of recurrent bleeding, such as melena (black, tarry stools) or hematemesis (vomiting blood), is crucial to prompt further investigation and intervention. Depending on the surgical approach and indication, post-operative imaging studies such as abdominal ultrasound or CT scans may be performed to assess surgical outcomes and detect complications like abscess formation or anastomotic leaks.[36,37]

Post-operative Planning: Pain management is essential for facilitating early mobilization and recovery, thereby reducing the risk of complications such as deep vein thrombosis and pneumonia. Patients may also require nutritional assessment and support, including early enteral feeding or total parenteral nutrition, particularly if they experience prolonged post-operative ileus or have compromised oral intake. Depending on individual risk factors, thromboprophylaxis with pharmacological agents and mechanical measures like compression stockings or intermittent pneumatic compression devices may be initiated to prevent venous thromboembolism. Scheduled follow-up visits with healthcare providers are crucial to monitor recovery progress, evaluate wound healing, and promptly address any post-operative complications or concerns. Educating patients on post-operative care, including recognizing signs of complications such as infection or recurrent bleeding, understanding dietary modifications, and adhering to activity restrictions, empowers them to actively participate in their recovery and overall health management.[16]

Long-term Management: Surveillance involves long-term monitoring based on the underlying cause of GIB, often including periodic endoscopic evaluations or imaging studies to detect disease recurrence or new lesions. Multidisciplinary care is crucial, involving collaboration with gastroenterologists, nutritionists, and other specialists to comprehensively manage ongoing gastrointestinal issues and address any nutritional deficiencies that may arise postoperatively. This integrated approach aims to optimize patient outcomes, ensure early detection of complications, and support patients in maintaining long-term gastrointestinal health following surgical treatment for GIB.[37]

Conclusions:

In conclusion, the management of gastrointestinal bleeding presents a complex and critical challenge in clinical practice, demanding a multifaceted approach to ensure optimal patient outcomes. This comprehensive review underscores the paramount importance of surgical management in cases where conservative measures and endoscopic interventions prove inadequate. The data synthesized from international literature and clinical practices highlight the necessity of precise, individualized surgical strategies tailored to the specific etiology and severity of GIB.

Surgical interventions, including exploratory laparotomy, laparoscopic surgery, and targeted procedures such as gastrectomy, colectomy, and segmental resection, are pivotal in controlling severe or persistent bleeding, preventing recurrence, and addressing underlying pathological conditions. The integration of pre-operative preparation, meticulous intra-operative techniques, and comprehensive post-operative care is crucial in optimizing patient recovery and minimizing complications.

By emphasizing the critical role of surgical management, this review provides a robust framework for enhancing clinical decision-making and advancing patient care standards in gastroenterology and surgery. The insights gained from this research highlight the need for continued innovation and refinement in surgical techniques to improve outcomes and quality of life for patients suffering from gastrointestinal bleeding.

Conflict of interest: None

Funding source: None

Role and contribution of authors:

Ahmed Alalem, collected the data, references and did the initial writeup

Suha Alalem, collected the data and helped in introductin and discussion writing.

Read Almuqhim, critically review the article and made final changes

References:

1. DiGregorio AM, Alvey H. Gastrointestinal Bleeding. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan.
2. Antunes C, Copelin II EL. Upper Gastrointestinal Bleeding. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan.
3. Saydam ŞS, Molnar M, Vora P. The global epidemiology of up-

per and lower gastrointestinal bleeding in general population: A systematic review. World J Gastrointest Surg. 2023 Apr 27;15(4):723-739. doi: 10.4240/wjgs.v15.i4.723. PMID: 37206079; PMCID: PMC10190726.
4. Wilkins T, Wheeler B, Carpenter M. Upper Gastrointestinal Bleeding in Adults: Evaluation and Management. Am Fam Physician. 2020 Mar 1;101(5):294-300. Erratum in: Am Fam Physician. 2021 Jan 15;103(2):70. PMID: 32109037.
5. AlLehibi AH, Alsubaie FF, Alzahrani RH, et al. Clinical Presentations and Risk Factors of Gastrointestinal Bleeding in the Emergency Department: A Multicenter Retrospective Study. Cureus. 2024 May 8;16(5):e59912. doi: 10.7759/cureus.59912. PMID: 38721481; PMCID: PMC11078533.
6. Kim BS, Li BT, Engel A, et al. Diagnosis of gastrointestinal bleeding: A practical guide for clinicians. World J GastrointestPathophysiol. 2014 Nov 15;5(4):467-78. doi: 10.4291/wjgp.v5.i4.467. PMID: 25400991; PMCID: PMC4231512.
7. Wilson ID. Hematemesis, Melena, and Hematochezia. In: Walker HK, Hall WD, Hurst JW, editors. Clinical Methods: The History, Physical, and Laboratory Examinations. 3rd edition. Boston: Butterworths; 1990. Chapter 85
8. Dinesen L, Benson M. Managing acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding in the acute assessment unit. Clin Med (Lond). 2012 Dec;12(6):589-93. doi: 10.7861/clinmedicine.12-6-589. PMID: 23342417; PMCID: PMC5922603.
9. Orpen-Palmer J, Stanley AJ. Update on the management of upper gastrointestinal bleeding. BMJ Med. 2022 Sep 28;1(1):e000202. doi: 10.1136/bmjmed-2022-000202. PMID: 36936565; PMCID: PMC9951461.
10. Hofer S, Schlimp CJ, Casu S, et al. Management of Coagulopathy in Bleeding Patients. J Clin Med. 2021 Dec 21;11(1):1. doi: 10.3390/jcm11010001. PMID: 35011742; PMCID: PMC8745606.
11. Ahlawat R, Hoilat GJ, Ross AB. Esophagogastroduodenoscopy. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan
12. Matkovic Z, Zildzic M. Colonoscopic Evaluation of Lower Gastrointestinal Bleeding (LGIB): Practical Approach. Med Arch. 2021 Aug;75(4):274-279. doi: 10.5455/medarh.2021.75.274-279. PMID: 34759447; PMCID: PMC8563031.
13. Patel A, Vedantam D, Poman DS, et al. Obscure Gastrointestinal Bleeding and Capsule Endoscopy: A Win-Win Situation or Not? Cureus. 2022 Jul 22;14(7):e27137. doi: 10.7759/cureus.27137. PMID: 36017285; PMCID: PMC9392966.
14. Fleischer DE. Endoscopic control of upper gastrointestinal bleeding. J Clin Gastroenterol. 1990;12 Suppl 2:S41-7. doi: 10.1097/00004836-199000000-00008. PMID: 2246494.
15. Jung K, Moon W. Role of endoscopy in acute gastrointestinal bleeding in real clinical practice: An evidence-based review. World J GastrointestEndosc. 2019 Feb 16;11(2):68-83. doi: 10.4253/wjge.v11.i2.68. PMID: 30788026; PMCID: PMC6379746.
16. Zhang H, Wang Y, Sun S, et al. Early enteral nutrition versus delayed enteral nutrition in patients with gastrointestinal bleeding: A PRISMA-compliant meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019 Mar;98(11):e14864. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000014864. PMID: 30882688; PMCID: PMC6426535.
17. Marx A, Demartines N. ChirurgischeIndikationen, et al. Surgical indications, choice of procedure and results in upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage. Helv Chir Acta. 1991 Jul;58(1-2):21-32. German. PMID: 1938448.
18. Rajaretnam N, Okoye E, Burns B. Laparotomy. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan
19. Egger B, Seiler C, Schweizer W. Value of laparotomy in massive lower gastrointestinal hemorrhage. Helv Chir Acta. 1993 Sep;60(1-2):97-9. German. PMID: 8226091.
20. Ertem M, Ozben V, Ozveri E, et al. Application of laparoscopy in the management of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding. Surg LaparoscEndoscPercutan Tech. 2010 Apr;20(2):89-92. doi: 10.1097/SLE.0b013e3181d84821. PMID: 20393334.
21. Zang L, Hu WG, Yan XW, et al. Laparoscopic treatment for small intestinal bleeding: a report of 77 cases. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A. 2010 Jul-Aug;20(6):521-5. doi: 10.1089/lap.2010.0022. PMID: 20687815.
22. Marsh AM, Buicko Lopez JL. Gastric Resection for Malignancy. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan
23. Bozzetti F, Marubini E, Bonfanti G, et al. Total versus subtotal gastrectomy: surgical morbidity and mortality rates in a multicenter Italian randomized trial. The Italian Gastrointestinal Tumor Study Group. Ann Surg. 1997 Nov;226(5):613-20. doi: 10.1097/00000658-199711000-00006. PMID: 9389395; PMCID: PMC1191123.
24. Mennigen R, Wolters HH, Schulte B, et al. Segmental resection of the duodenum for gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST). World J Surg Oncol. 2008 Sep 30;6:105. doi: 10.1186/1477-7819-6-105. PMID: 18826622; PMCID: PMC2567321.
25. Ren YX, He M, Ye PC, et al. Total laparoscopic segmental gastrectomy for gastrointestinal stromal tumors: A case report. World J Clin Cases. 2020 Aug 6;8(15):3365-3371. doi: 10.12998/wjcc.v8.i15.3365. PMID: 32874994; PMCID: PMC7441272.
26. Clatterbuck B, Moore L. Small Bowel Resection. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan
27. Mitchell BG, Mandava N. Hemicolectomy. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan
28. Morris ML, Tucker RD, Baron TH, et al. Electrosurgery in gastrointestinal endoscopy: principles to practice. Am J Gastroenterol. 2009 Jun;104(6):1563-74. doi: 10.1038/ajg.2009.105. Epub 2009 Apr 21. PMID: 19491874.
29. Alali AA, Moosavi S, Martel M, et al. Topical hemostatic agents in the management of upper gastrointestinal bleeding: a meta-analysis. Endosc Int Open. 2023 Apr 24;11(4):E368-E385. doi: 10.1055/a-1984-6895. PMID: 37102185; PMCID: PMC10125779.
30. Jiang SX, Chahal D, Ali-Mohamad N, et al. Hemostatic powders for gastrointestinal bleeding: a review of old, new, and emerging agents in a rapidly advancing field. Endosc Int Open. 2022 Aug 15;10(8):E1136-E1146. doi: 10.1055/a-1836-8962. PMID: 36238531; PMCID: PMC9552790.
31. Fomin PD, Nikishaev VI, Tikhonenko VM, et al. Internal ligatures of the pyloro-duodenal region after surgical treatment of bleeding ulcers. VestnKhirIm I I Grek. 1990 May;144(5):27-31. Russian. PMID: 2175978.
32. Franceschilli M, Vinci D, Di Carlo S, et al. Central vascular ligation and mesentery based abdominal surgery. Discov Oncol. 2021 Aug 6;12(1):24. doi: 10.1007/s12672-021-00419-4. PMID: 35201479; PMCID: PMC8777547.
33. Martins BC, Wodak S, Gusmon CC, et al. Argon plasma coagulation for the endoscopic treatment of gastrointestinal tumor bleeding: A retrospective comparison with a non-treated historical cohort. United European Gastroenterol J. 2016 Feb;4(1):49-54. doi: 10.1177/2050640615590303. Epub 2015 Jun 9. PMID: 26966522; PMCID: PMC4766551.
34. Kirmizi S, Kayaalp C, Karagul S, et al. Comparison of Harmonic scalpel and Ligasure devices in laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. Wideochir Inne Tech Maloinwazyjne. 2017;12(1):28-31. doi: 10.5114/wiitm.2017.66641. Epub 2017 Mar 15. PMID: 28446929; PMCID: PMC5397550.
35. Biecker E, Heller J, Schmitz V, et al. Diagnosis and management of upper gastrointestinal bleeding. DtschArztebl Int. 2008 Feb;105(5):85-94. doi: 10.3238/arztebl.2008.0085. Epub 2008 Feb 1. PMID: 19633792; PMCID: PMC2701242.
36. Kaur S, Dunne CL, Bresee L. A Protocol for a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Hospital Readmissions Following Acute Upper Gastrointestinal Bleeding. Cureus. 2021 Nov 4;13(11):e19263. doi: 10.7759/cureus.19263. PMID: 34900459; PMCID: PMC8648130.
37. Jaben I, Sasso R, Rockey DC. Hemoglobin Monitoring in Acute Gastrointestinal Bleeding: Are We Monitoring Blood Counts Too Frequently? Am J Med. 2021 May;134(5):682-687. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2020.09.056. Epub 2020 Nov 9. PMID: 33181102; PMCID: PMC8600980.